

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,204	10/28/2003	John E. Dunn	2039.017700	4498
32223 75	590 03/15/2005		EXAM	INER
CHEVRON P	HILLIPS CHEMICA	CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
LAW DEPART	TMENT - IP			*
P.O BOX 4910			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THE WOODL	ANDS, TX 77387-49	0	1713	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>u</i>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/695,204	DUNN, JOHN E.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	· ·	William K Cheung	1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u>	<u>ebruary 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers			٠			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	t(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. In view of amendment filed February 9, 2005, claims 5-6 have been cancelled.

 Claims 1-4, 7-19 are pending. Claims 11-19 are drawn to non-elected subjected matter.

 Claims 1-4, 7-10 are examined with merit.
- 2. In view of amendment filed February 9, 2005, the rejection of claims 1-5, 7-10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Birbaum et al. (US 5,760,111) is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 1713

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birbaum et al. (US 5,760,111) in view of Ciba Literature on Tinuvin®1577 FF.

The invention of claims 1-4, 7-10 relates to a composition, comprising: at least about 5 wt% of a monovinylarene-conjugated diene copolymer; from about 0.1 wt% to about 2.5 wt% of an ultraviolet (UV) absorber; and from about 0.1 wt% to about 2.5 wt% of a light stabilizer; and wherein the UV absorber further comprises 2-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-ditertpentylphenol or 2-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-5-(hexyl)oxylphenol.

Birbaum et al. (col. 9, line 49-64) teach polymeric composition comprising a monovinylarene-conjugated diene copolymers as the major ingredient of a composition. Therefore, applicants' claimed "at least about 5 wt%" of claim 1, "at least about 50 wt%" of claim 3, and "at least about 95 wt%" of claim 4, are inherently possessed in Birbaum et al. Further, Birbaum et al. (col. 12, line 14-25) teach that the polymeric composition to comprise from 0.01 to 5 wt% of two or more compounds of formula (1) (col. 1, line 13-39) which is a compound comprising a phenol group and a trazin group, and one or more further stabilizers which include the HALS (col. 15, line 27-28) as claimed in applicants' claim 8.

Art Unit: 1713

The difference between the invention of claims 1-4, 7-10 and Birbaum et al. is that Birbaum et al. are silent on the specific structures of 2-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-ditertpentylphenol and 2-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-5-(hexyl)oxylphenol.

Birbaum et al. (col. 1, line 13-39) clearly teach a structure that generically includes the structure as claimed. Therefore, motivated by the expectation of success of preparing a polymeric composition with improved photochemical and thermal stabilization (col. 1, line 1-12), it would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in art to appreciate the value of Tinuvin® 1577 FF after reading the disclosure to Birbaum. Therefore, in view of the reasons set forth, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to use the generic structure teachings in Birbaum et al. and the literature teachings of Tinuvin® 1577 FF to obtain the invention of claims 1-4, 7-10.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1713

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung

Primary Examiner

March 4, 2005

